

Patent and Trademark Office requested that FDA determine the products regulatory review period.

FDA has determined that the applicable regulatory review period for DIFFERIN Topical Gel is 2,447 days. Of this time, 1,401 days occurred during the testing phase of the regulatory review period, while 1,046 days occurred during the approval phase. These periods of time were derived from the following dates:

1. *The date an exemption under section 505(i) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 355(i)) became effective:* September 20, 1989. FDA has verified the applicant's claim that the date that the investigational new drug application became effective was on September 20, 1989.

2. *The date the application was initially submitted with respect to the human drug product under section 505(b) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act:* July 21, 1993. The applicant claims July 15, 1993, as the date the new drug application (NDA) for DIFFERIN Topical Gel (NDA 20-380) was initially submitted. However, FDA records indicate that NDA 20-380 was submitted on July 21, 1993.

3. *The date the application was approved:* May 31, 1996. FDA has verified the applicant's claim that NDA 20-380 was approved on May 31, 1996.

This determination of the regulatory review period establishes the maximum potential length of a patent extension. However, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office applies several statutory limitations in its calculations of the actual period for patent extension. In its application for patent extension, this applicant seeks 1,512 days of patent term extension.

Anyone with knowledge that any of the dates as published is incorrect may, on or before April 14, 1997, submit to the Dockets Management Branch (address above) written comments and ask for a redetermination. Furthermore, any interested person may petition FDA, on or before August 11, 1997, for a determination regarding whether the applicant for extension acted with due diligence during the regulatory review period. To meet its burden, the petition must contain sufficient facts to merit an FDA investigation. (See H. Rept. 857, part 1, 98th Cong., 2d sess., pp. 41-42, 1984.) Petitions should be in the format specified in 21 CFR 10.30.

Comments and petitions should be submitted to the Dockets Management Branch (address above) in three copies (except that individuals may submit single copies) and identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Comments

and petitions may be seen in the Dockets Management Branch between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

Dated: January 31, 1997.
Stuart L. Nightingale,
Associate Commissioner for Health Affairs.
[FR Doc. 97-3314 Filed 2-10-97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4160-01-F

[Docket No. 96E-0387]

Determination of Regulatory Review Period for Purposes of Patent Extension; DECTOMAX

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has determined the regulatory review period for DECTOMAX and is publishing this notice of that determination as required by law. FDA has made the determination because of the submission of an application to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Department of Commerce, for the extension of a patent which claims that animal drug product.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and petitions should be directed to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 12420 Parklawn Dr., rm. 1-23, Rockville, MD 20857.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brian J. Malkin, Office of Health Affairs (HFY-20), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, 301-443-1382.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984 (Pub. L. 98-417) and the Generic Animal Drug and Patent Term Restoration Act (Pub. L. 100-670) generally provide that a patent may be extended for a period of up to 5 years so long as the patented item (human drug product, animal drug product, medical device, food additive, or color additive) was subject to regulatory review by FDA before the item was marketed. Under these acts, a product's regulatory review period forms the basis for determining the amount of extension an applicant may receive.

A regulatory review period consists of two periods of time: A testing phase and an approval phase. For animal drug products, the testing phase begins on the earlier date when either a major environmental effects test was initiated for the drug or when an exemption under section 512(j) of the Federal Food,

Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 360b(j)) became effective and runs until the approval phase begins. The approval phase starts with the initial submission of an application to market the animal drug product and continues until FDA grants permission to market the drug product. Although only a portion of a regulatory review period may count toward the actual amount of extension that the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks may award (for example, half the testing phase must be subtracted as well as any time that may have occurred before the patent was issued), FDA's determination of the length of a regulatory review period for an animal drug product will include all of the testing phase and approval phase as specified in 35 U.S.C. 156(g)(4)(B).

FDA recently approved for marketing the animal drug product DECTOMAX (doramectin). DECTOMAX is indicated for cattle treatment and control of gastrointestinal roundworms, lungworms, eyeworms, grubs, lice, and mange mites, and protection against infection or reinfection with *Ostertagia ostertagia* for up to 21 days. Subsequent to this approval, the Patent and Trademark Office received a patent term restoration application for DECTOMAX (U.S. Patent No. 5,089,480) from Pfizer, Inc., and the Patent and Trademark Office requested FDA's assistance in determining this patent's eligibility for patent term restoration. In a letter dated October 25, 1996, FDA advised the Patent and Trademark Office that this animal drug product had undergone a regulatory review period and that the approval of DECTOMAX represented the first commercial marketing of the product. Shortly thereafter, the Patent and Trademark Office requested that FDA determine the product's regulatory review period.

FDA has determined that the applicable regulatory review period for DECTOMAX is 2,836 days. Of this time, 2,695 days occurred during the testing phase of the regulatory review period, while 141 days occurred during the approval phase. These periods of time were derived from the following dates:

1. *The date an exemption under section 512(j) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act became effective:* October 26, 1988. The applicant claims December 7, 1988, as the date the investigational new animal drug application (INAD) became effective. However, FDA records indicate that the date of FDA's official acknowledgment letter assigning a number to the INAD was October 26, 1988, which is considered to be the effective date for the INAD.

2. The date the application was initially submitted with respect to the animal drug product under section 512(b) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act: March 12, 1996. The applicant claims March 7, 1996, as the date the new animal drug application (NADA) for DECTOMAX (NADA 141-061) was initially submitted. However, FDA records indicate that the date of FDA's official acknowledgment letter assigning a number to the NADA was March 12, 1996, which is considered to be the NADA initially submitted date.

3. The date the application was approved: July 30, 1996. FDA has verified the applicant's claim that NADA 141-061 was approved on July 30, 1996.

This determination of the regulatory review period establishes the maximum potential length of a patent extension. However, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office applies several statutory limitations in its calculations of the actual period for patent extension. In its application for patent extension, this applicant seeks 527 days of patent term extension.

Anyone with knowledge that any of the dates as published is incorrect may, on or before April 14, 1997, submit to the Dockets Management Branch (address above) written comments and ask for a redetermination. Furthermore, any interested person may petition FDA, on or before August 11, 1997, for a determination regarding whether the applicant for extension acted with due diligence during the regulatory review period. To meet its burden, the petition must contain sufficient facts to merit an FDA investigation. (See H. Rept. 857, part 1, 98th Cong., 2d sess., pp. 41-42, 1984.) Petitions should be in the format specified in 21 CFR 10.30.

Comments and petitions should be submitted to the Dockets Management Branch (address above) in three copies (except that individuals may submit single copies) and identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Comments and petitions may be seen in the Dockets Management Branch between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

Dated: January 31, 1997.

Stuart L. Nightingale,
Associate Commissioner for Health Affairs.
[FR Doc. 97-3315 Filed 2-10-97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4160-01-F

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of the Secretary; Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Work Group; Notice of Establishment

This notice is published in accordance with Section 9(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463). Following consultation with the General Services Administration, notice is hereby given that the Secretary of the Interior (Secretary) is establishing the Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Work Group. The purpose of the Adaptive Management Work Group shall be to advise and provide recommendations to the Secretary with respect to his responsibility to comply with the Grand Canyon Protection Act of October 30, 1992, embodied in Public Law 102-575.

Further information regarding the advisory council may be obtained from the Bureau of Reclamation, Department of the Interior, 1849 C Street, NW, Washington, DC 20240.

The certification of establishment is published below.

Certification

I hereby certify that establishment of the Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Work Group is in the public interest in connection with the purpose of duties imposed on the Department of the Interior by 30 U.S.C. 1-8.

Dated: January 15, 1997.
Bruce Babbitt,
Secretary of the Interior.
[FR Doc. 97-3318 Filed 2-10-97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310-94-M

Fish and Wildlife Service

Endangered and Threatened Species Permit Applications

ACTION: Notice of receipt of applications.

SUMMARY: The following applicants have applied for a permit to conduct certain activities with endangered species. This notice is provided pursuant to section 10(c) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531, et seq.).

Permit No. 823110

Applicant: Charles W. Cartwright, Jr.,
Albuquerque, New Mexico

The applicant requests authorization for research and recovery purposes to survey for the cactus ferruginous pygmy owl (*Glaucidium brasilianum cactorum*) and the Yuma clapper rail (*Rallus longirostris yumanensis*).

Permit No. 813889

Applicant: Larry Benallie, Sr., Window Rock, Arizona

The applicant requests authorization for research and recovery purposes to survey parts of the Navajo Nation for the possible occurrence of the Kanab ambersnail (*Oxyloma haydeni ssp. kanabensis*).

Permit No. 823253

Applicant: John Lloyd-Reilley, Kingsville, Texas

The applicant requests authorization to perform an intensive study of slender rush-pea (*Hoffmannseggia tenella*) for possible reintroduction to wildland sites. Applicant was previously granted permission to collect the seeds of slender rushpea and propagate plants for research and recovery purposes.

Permit No. 823293

Applicant: Joseph B. Gebler, Tucson, Arizona

Applicant requests authorization for research and recovery purposes to collect up to 10 of the following endangered fish species from various locales within Arizona for ecological and contaminant assessment. Except when vouchered, endangered and threatened species will be released unharmed immediately.

Chub, bonytail (*Gila elegans*)
Pupfish, desert (*Cyprinodon macularius*)
Minnow, loach (*Rhinichthys (=Tiaroga) cobitis*)
Squawfish, Colorado (*Ptychocheilus lucius*)
Spikedace (*Meda fulgida*)
Sucker, razorback (*Xyrauchen texanus*)
Topminnow, Gila (*Poeciliopsis occidentalis*)
Trout, Apache (*Oncorhynchus apache*)
Trout, Gila (*Oncorhynchus gilae*)
Woundfin (*Plagopterus argentissimus*)

Permit No. 823354

Applicant: Dr. Ross Dawkins, San Angelo, Texas

Applicant requests authorization to survey, map territory distribution, capture (using mist nets) band, measure, and immediately release unharmed no more than five black-capped vireos (*Vireo atricapillus*). Work would be conducted in Terrell, Brushy Canyon, and Brewster Counties, Texas.

Permit No. 823431

Applicant: Nancy London, Wickenburg, Arizona

The applicant requests authorization to observe, survey, and monitor for southwestern willow flycatchers (*Empidonax traillii extimus*) to determine habitat preferences of the species.